

Public Consultation on the amendment of the Business Requirements Specification for the Nomination and Matching Procedures

Consultation Response Sheet

Purpose

This document explains the purpose of the Public Consultation for the amendment of the Business Requirements Specification for the Nomination and Matching Procedures and the request for stakeholder engagement. The Public Consultation gives stakeholders the opportunity to express their support and/or other views about the amendment of the Business Requirements Specification before ENTSOG's final internal approval and subsequent submission to EASEE-gas for a possible adjustment of the Message Implementation Guideline based on the Business Requirements Specification.

The initial draft Business Requirements Specification for the Nomination and Matching Procedures was published by ENTSOG in February 2014 for public consultation ([link to initial consultation version](#)). The responses to this consultation can be found on the ENTSOG website ([link to consultation responses](#)). ENTSOG amended the initial draft Business Requirements Specification based on feedback from stakeholders and ENTSOG members. Additional processes and procedures have been included in the Business Requirements Specification. Therefore ENTSOG invites stakeholders to participate in a public consultation on the amendment of the Business Requirements Specification.

ENTSOG also hopes that the Public Consultation will help to continue the valuable dialogue with market participants regarding the overall process for developing common network operation tools.

Stakeholder engagement requested

ENTSOG encourages stakeholders to participate in this process and to respond to this short questionnaire, in order to ensure the widest possible representation from the market.

Instructions

Each respondent is kindly requested complete the fields indicated below by **31 March 2015**. If you wish to keep a record your responses please print your response, since it consulted upon once submitted. **Please be aware that once you have started to fill in the form, you need to complete the format in one session!** A sample of the questionnaire will be available on the ENTSOG website here.

Name

First and Last Name: XXX

Organisation

Company/Organisation Name (the organisation you are representing):

Job Title:

Contact details

Email:

Tel:

Mobile:

Address

Street:

Postal Code:

City:

Country:

Countries in which your organisation operates:

How would you describe your organisation? (Please choose only the one category which best represents your organisation)

<input type="checkbox"/>	Association	(please specify type)
<input type="checkbox"/>	End user	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Network user	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Trader	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Infrastructure operator (LNG, storage etc.)	(please specify)
<input type="checkbox"/>	DSO	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	

Question 1: Do you agree with the amendments made to the initial BRS for nomination and matching procedures?

Yes	No

Question 2: Do you agree in particular with foreseeing an authorisation process for single sided nominations, as a mechanism to avoid illegitimate single sided nominations of a network user impacting another network user's portfolio?

Yes	No

Question 3: Do you agree with the foreseen default for the handling of double sided nominations in case a valid authorisation is in place or in case of one legal entity active in both systems as defined in point 3.3.3.3 of the BRS?

(Point 3.3.3.3 of the BRS: "For cases in which a single sided nomination is submitted on behalf of one legal entity active in both networks, the authorisation process may not be necessary, if the involved Transmission System Operators conclude a bilateral agreement allowing them to check the identities of nominating Registered Network Users. If in such a case the Registered Network User that submitted a single sided nomination to the active Transmission System Operator is also submitting a corresponding counter nomination to the passive Transmission System Operator, the nominations shall be processed as double sided nominations, unless specified otherwise by the Transmission System Operators.

If a passive Registered Network User submits a nomination to the passive Transmission System Operator affecting an account or portfolio code of the active Registered Network User for a period for which a valid authorisation between the two Registered Network Users is in place, the nomination shall be processed as double sided and the respective authorisation shall be deactivated

for the respective gas day, unless specified otherwise by the Transmission System Operators.”)

Yes	No

Question 4: Do you intend to in future make use of single-sided nominations instead of double-sided nominations and how much time after publication of the relevant data formats do you need for the implementation in your IT-Systems?

Yes	No

Question 5: Do you have any other comments or suggested improvements to the BRS for nomination and matching procedures? If yes, please provide them here.

Yes	No