Amendment of the Common Data Exchange Solution Table
Public Consultation on the amended version of the Common Data Exchange Solution Table (launched in 02/2021)
CNOT Public Consultation 1st results (draft)

- 42 participants in total
- 40 valid answers (1 entity answered twice, 1 TSO)
Participants - Roles

- BRP and/or CRP: 25 (62%)
- Other Role: 7 (17%)
- Association: 4 (10%)
- Multiple Roles: 2 (5%)
- LNG Operator: 1 (3%)
- Storage Operator: 1 (3%)

Legend:
- BRP and/or CRP
- Other Role
- Association
- Multiple Roles
- LNG Operator
- Storage Operator
Do you agree with the proposed changes to the Common Data Exchange Solution Table mentioned in Annex II?

- No: 15 (37%)
- Yes: 24 (60%)
- Abstain: 1 (3%)
Agreement on the proposed changes to the CDEST mentioned in Annex II (only BRPs / CRPs considered)

- Yes: 13 (52%)
- No: 12 (48%)
Parties NOT agreeing on the proposed solution

How often do you participate in auctions on an annual basis?

- Between 10 and 50: 1
- I do not participate in auctions: 2
- Less than 10: 3
- More than 50: 4

How many Market Areas are you active in?

- More than 3 Market Areas: 4
- 2-3 Market Areas: 3
- 1 Market Area: 1

I do not participate in auctions.
Parties agreeing on the proposed solution

How often do you participate in auctions on annual basis?

- Between 10 and 50 I do not participate in auctions: 1 (1 Market Area), 3 (2-3 Market Areas)
- Less than 10: 1 (1 Market Area), 2 (2-3 Market Areas)
- More than 50: 2 (1 Market Area), 9 (More than 3 Market Areas)

How many Market Areas are you active in?

- 2-3 Market Areas: 3 (1 Market Area), 2 (2-3 Market Areas)
- 1 Market Area: 1 (1 Market Area), 2 (2-3 Market Areas)
- More than 3 Market Areas: 9 (More than 3 Market Areas)
Do you agree with the proposed changes to the INT & DE NC mentioned in Annex I, in particular Article 23(1)?

- No: 29 (72%)
- Yes: 1 (3%)
- Abstain: 10 (25%)

(Note: The percentages add up to more than 100% due to rounding.)
Public Consultation on the reported FUNC issue “missing harmonisation of interfaces on capacity platforms” (launched 02/2020)
Previous Public Consultation Support of Edig@s XML for Booking Platforms

- Soft Support
- Strong support
- No
- No Opinion

TSOs / CBPO Others
Only BRPs’ / CRPs’ answers considered

Do you support a common format (Edig@s-XML) for all capacity booking platforms for the processes (data exchange between Registered Network Users and Auction Office) mentioned in the Common Data Exchange Solution Table? Please, indicate your preference as

- Strong Support
- Soft Support
- No
- No Opinion

- 12 (80%) Support
- 2 (13%) Soft Support
- 1 (7%) No
- 0 (0%) No Opinion
Preferred protocol for communication to capacity booking platforms

AS4 (as defined in INT NC * Art. 21)

REST (as used by Prisma)

TSOs / CBPOs  Others
Only BRPs’ / CRPs’ answers considered

What would be your preferred protocol for communication to capacity booking platforms? *AS4 has been chosen as a protocol for the document-based data exchange after a Cost-Benefit and technical analysis considering i.e. the security parameters and interop.

- AS4 (as defined as defined in INT NC * Art. 21)
- REST (as used by Prisma)
Conclusions
ENTSOG and ACER undertook following steps

Public Consultation on the FUNC issue solution (February 2020)

- Following Responses were provided by the directly affected Network Users (BRPs and CRPs)

- Strong support for the document-based (AS4 & Edig@s) solution
  - 80% strong support for using Edig@s as a common format
  - 87% for using AS4 as a common protocol

- These results provided a clear guideline for steps to be taken by ENTSOG:
  1. Amendment of the Common Data Exchange Solution Table (consulted & published by ENTSOG)
  2. Amendment of the Interoperability & Data Exchange Network Code (EC to approve)

Conclusions (1/2)
Conclusions (2/2)

Public Consultation on the Common Data Exchange Solution Table (February 2021) – ENTSOG is obliged to launch a public consultation to amend this document.

– This consultation confirms the request from the market to implement the document-based solution for specific processes for the communication between Capacity Booking Platform Operators and Network Users.

– Please note that changing the mandatory solution from the “interactive” to the “document-based” for specific processes does NOT oblige Capacity Booking Platform Operators to stop providing the “interactive” solution in parallel. The CDEST includes the “interactive” as the optional solution.

ENTSOG strongly recommends to keep the “interactive” solution in place in order to provide more options for their counterparties.
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