

Minutes

11th TYNDP/CBA Workshop

Brussels, 12 January 2016, from 13:30 to 17:00

Thon Hotel EU, Rue de la Loi 75, 1040 Brussels

Opening note

Jan Ingwersen, ENTSOG General Manager

J. Ingwersen welcomes the participants to the workshop and highlights the importance and challenges of the TYNDP process.

European Commission's feedback on TYNDP 2015 and recommendations for TYNDP 2017

Catharina SIKOW-MAGNY, DG-ENER

- “Inclusiveness” and “Transparency” are key word for the whole TYNDP and PCI selection process.
- In the history of TYNDP, the CBA is new and it is still work in progress.
- TYNDP 2015 has provided a valuable assessment of the gas network and of bottleneck. The executive summary provides a clear and intuitive analysis, supported by maps: there are local issues and there is a need for more infrastructures, but implementing all projects would be too much.
- Points out description of past achievements: 2nd TYNDP introduced bottlenecks, from 2013 on the TEN-E regulation was in force and required new changes. Further improvements are possible.
- There is still room for improvements for TYNDP to best support the identification of PCIs:
 - numerous outputs, although rich, are challenging: either simplification or a common understanding of the complexity are needed;
 - competing projects are challenging;
 - more monetisation would be useful, especially for SoS benefits;
 - phases of projects need to be considered, as mature and immature projects should not be assessed the same;
 - inclusion of costs is essential, even if economically sensitive.

- Next PCI selection process will start early and will first focus on where are the problems and bottlenecks, before looking into projects. TYNDP timing fits very well.

ACER Opinion on TYNDP 2015

Boyko NITZOV, ACER

- Slides are available for [download](#).
- Identification of needs is key. Needs fulfilled by projects should be self-assessed.
- Costs should be provided: the confidentiality issue is manageable.
- ACER has published maturity criteria as part of its Recommendation 05/2015. a distinction between those criteria and others, which would be appropriate for TYNDP is recommended.
- Nothing precludes projects to be included in TYNDP without being in a National Development Plan, but it should be justified

Feedback from the public consultation on TYNDP 2015

Adam Balogh, ENTASOG Adviser

- Slides are available for [download](#).

TYNDP 2017: timeline and foreseen improvements

Céline HEIDRECHID, ENTASOG Business Area Manager

- Slides are available for [download](#).

Discussion

- Maturity criterion will be discussed during SJWS #1 [ENTASOG]
- Gas demand in the future is uncertain, a wide range should be considered [Engie]
- Tariff could be used for comparison [Engie]
[ENTASOG]: Projects' costs are part of the analysis. Resulting tariff are not know at this stage of the analysis
- Distinction between low and high calorific gas intended would be valuable [CREG]
[ENTASOG]: the TYNDP model is a market model, which does not differentiate between those gas qualities. Although technically feasible, ENTASOG has not identified it as part of its priority improvements.
- The upcoming gas and electricity interlinked model should help improving consistency of demand scenarios, at least regarding gas to power [ACER]
- ACER report on Unit Investment Costs is available for usage for cost transparency [ACER]

- EC views on participation on Non-EU/EnC countries to the PCI selection? [Ukrtransgas]
[EC]: project needs to be submitted to TYNDP and to meet eligibility criteria for PCI projects, PEI status is also possible for EnC [EC]

Stakeholders' engagement process for TYNDP 2017

Céline HEIDRECHID, ENTSOG Business Area Manager

- Slides are available for [download](#).

Discussion

- Further coordination with ENTSO-E on TYNDP outputs would be appreciated [Eurogas]
- Guidance for project promoters would be helpful [CRE]
- For CBA cost information, there needs to be a work-around for confidentiality issues. Regulators require this information. [CRE]
[ENTSOG]: PS-CBA is the responsibility of project promoters. Costs were provided by promoters as part of their PS-CBA submission.
- Is involvement of third parties in the development of indicators or in the PCI selection considered? [CREG]
[ENTSOG]: the indicators listed in the CBA methodology approved by EC will be used. The amount of results derives from the Regulation requirements.
[EC]: PCI selection process needs to be simplified for the 3rd selection, for this reason discussions will start early in a cross-regional meeting on 4 February. Currently involvement of third parties is not foreseen.

Conclusions

The main topics raised during the workshop are the following ones:

- Assessment of the infrastructure gap
- Need for simplification
- Inclusion of project costs
- Maturity of projects
- Cooperation with ENTSO-E